

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,429	09/30/2003	JAMES N. HUMENIK	FIS920020186US1	2428
32074	7590 09/01/2006		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			GORDON, BRIAN R	
DEPT. 18C BLDG. 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/605,429	HUMENIK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian R. Gordon	1743	

	Brian R. Gordon	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	·	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	-d				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` ' ' '	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 wi	Il be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.		•				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:							
			->>				

Brian R. Gurdon

For Ryl

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1, now requires each sample cell to contain at least two vertical passages whereas the previous version of the claim only required a single member of the two passages to included therein. Applicant has amended "said bottom layer" to "said lower layer" as such the lower layer was not previously required to be involved in the sintering step. Furthermore the Markush Group of the claim remains improper due to a missing "," (comma). A "," (comma) is required between the words "glass" and "and" otherwise the elements "glass and borosilicate glass" appear as a single element of the Markush group.